

Logic Programming

Backtracking and Cut

Temur Kutsia

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation
Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria
`kutsia@risc.jku.at`

1 / 48

Contents

Generating Multiple Solutions

The "Cut"

- Confirming the Choice of a Rule
- The "Cut-fail" Combination
- Terminating a "Generate-and-Test"
- Problems with the Cut

2 / 48

Finitely Many Alternatives

Simplest way: Several facts match against the question.

Example

```
father(mary, george).  
father(john, george).  
father(sue, harry).  
father(george, edward).
```

```
?- father(X, Y).  
X=mary, Y=george ;  
X=john, Y=george ;  
X=sue, Y=harry ;  
X=george, Y=edward
```

The answers are generated in the order in which the facts are given.

3/48

Repeating the Same Answer

Old answers do not influence newer ones: same answer can be returned several times.

Example

```
father(mary, george).  
father(john, george).  
father(sue, harry).  
father(george, edward).
```

```
?- father(_, X).  
X = george ;  
X = george ;  
X = harry ;  
X = edward
```

george returned twice because George is the father of both Mary and John.

4/48

Embedding Does Not Matter

Backtracking happens in the same way if the alternatives are embedded more deeply.

Example

```
father(mary, george).
father(john, george).
father(sue, harry).
father(george, edward).

child(X,Y) :- father(Y,X)

?- child(X,Y).
X = george, Y = mary ;
X = george, Y = john ;
X = harry, Y = sue ;
X = edward, Y = george
```

5/48

Mixing facts and Rules

If facts and rules are mixed, the alternatives follow again in the order in which things are presented.

Example

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| person(adam). | ?- person(X). |
| person(X) :- mother(X, Y). | X = adam ; |
| person(eve). | X = cain ; |
| mother(cain, eve). | X = abel ; |
| mother(abel, eve). | X = jabal ; |
| mother(jabal, adah). | X = tubalcain ; |
| mother(tubalcain, zillah). | X = eve |

6/48

Multiple Goals with Multiple Solutions

More interesting case: two goals, each with several solutions.

Example

```
pair(X, Y) :-          ?- pair(X, Y).
    boy(X),            X = john, Y = griselda ;
    girl(Y).           X = john, Y = ermintrude ;
                       X = john, Y = brunhilde ;
boy(johm).             X = marmaduke, Y = griselda ;
boy(marmaduke).        X = marmaduke, Y = ermintrude ;
boy(bertram).          X = marmaduke, Y = brunhilde ;
boy(charles).          X = bertram, Y = griselda ;
                       ...
girl(griselda).
girl(ermitrude).
girl(brunhilda).
```

12 solutions.

7/48

Infinite Number of Possibilities

Sometimes we want to generate an infinite number of possibilities.

It might not be known in advance how many of them needed.

Example

```
is_integer(0).
is_integer(X) :-
    is_integer(Y),
    X is Y+1.

?- is_integer(X).
X = 0 ;
X = 1 ;
X = 2 ;
...
```

How does it work?

8/48

Member and Multiple Solutions

Most rules give rise to alternative solutions if they are used for goals that contain many uninstantiated variables.

Example

```
member(X, [X|_]) .  
member(X, [_|Y]) :-  
    member(X, Y) .
```

```
?- member(A, X) .  
X = [A|_] ;  
X = [_ , A|_] ;  
X = [_ , _ , A|_] ;  
X = [_ , _ , _ , A|_] ;  
...
```

There is a way to tell PROLOG to discard choices: The "cut".

9 / 48

The "Cut"

Cut (written "!") tells the system which previous choices need not to be considered again when it backtracks.

Advantages:

- ▶ The program will run faster. No time wasting on attempts to re-satisfy certain goals.
- ▶ The program will occupy less memory. Less backtracking points to be remembered.

Example of Cut

Reference library:

- ▶ Determine which facilities are available.
- ▶ If one has an overdue book can only use the *basic facilities*.
- ▶ Otherwise can use the *general facilities*.

11/48

Reference Library

Example

```
facility(Person, Facility) :-  
    book_overdue(Person, Book),  
    !,  
    basic_facility(Facility).  
facility(Person, Facility) :-  
    general_facility(Facility).
```

```
basic_facility(reference).  
basic_facility(enquiries).
```

```
additional_facility(borrowing).  
additional_facility(inter_library_loan).
```

```
general_facility(X) :- basic_facility(X).  
general_facility(X) :- additional_facility(X).
```

12/48

Reference Library

Example

```
book_overdue('C. Watzer', book10089).  
book_overdue('A. Jones', book29907).  
...  
client('C. Watzer').  
client('A. Jones').  
...  
?- client(X), facility(X,Y).
```

How does it proceed?

13/48

Reference Library

The effect of cut:

- ▶ If a client has an overdue book, then only allow her/him the basic facilities.
- ▶ Don't bother going through all the clients overdue books.
- ▶ Don't remember any other rule about facilities.

14/48

The Effect of Cut

In general, when a cut is encountered as a goal

- ▶ The system becomes committed to all choices made since the parent goal was invoked.
- ▶ All other alternatives are discarded.
- ▶ An attempt to re-satisfy any goal between the parent goal and the cut goal will fail.

15 / 48

Common Uses of Cut

Three main cases:

1. To tell the system that it found the right rule for a particular goal. *Confirming the choice of a rule.*
2. To tell the system to fail a particular goal without trying for alternative solutions. *Cut-fail combination.*
3. To tell the system to terminate the generation of alternative solutions by backtracking. *Terminate a "generate-and-test".*

16 / 48

Confirming the Choice of a Rule

Typical situation:

- ▶ We wish to associate several clauses with the same predicate.
- ▶ One clause is appropriate if the arguments are of one form, another is appropriate if the arguments have another form.
- ▶ Often (but not always) these alternatives can be made disjoint by providing just the argument patterns (e.g., empty list in one clause, and a nonempty list in another.)
- ▶ If we cannot specify an exhaustive set of patterns, we may give rules for some specific argument types and gave a "catchall" rule at the end for everything else.

17/48

Confirming the Choice of a Rule

Example of the case when an exhaustive set of patterns can not be specified:

Example

```
sum_to(1, 1).  
sum_to(N, Res):-  
    N1 is N-1,  
    sum_to(N1, Res1),  
    Res is Res1+N.
```

```
?- sum_to(5, X).  
X=15 ;
```

It loops.

18/48

Confirming the Choice of a Rule

What happened?

- ▶ `sum_to(1, 1)` and `sum_to(N, Res)` are not disjoint alternatives.
- ▶ `sum_to(1, 1)` matches both `sum_to(1, 1)` and `sum_to(N, Res)`.
- ▶ But if a goal matches `sum_to(1, 1)`, there is no reason why it should try the second alternative, `sum_to(N, Res)`.
- ▶ Cut the second alternative.

19/48

Confirming the Choice of a Rule

Example

```
sum_to(1, 1) :-  
    !.  
sum_to(N, Res) :-  
    N1 is N-1,  
    sum_to(N1, Res1),  
    Res is Res1+N.  
  
?- sum_to(5, X).  
X = 15 ;  
false
```

20/48

More Usual Situation

- ▶ In the previous example we could specify a pattern for the boundary case `sum_to(1, 1)`.
- ▶ Usually, it is hard to specify pattern if we want to provide extra conditions that decide on the appropriate rule.
- ▶ The previous example still loops on goals `sum_to(N, Res)` where $N \leq 1$.
- ▶ We can put this condition in the boundary case telling PROLOG to stop for such goals.
- ▶ But then the pattern can not be specified.

21 / 48

Cut with Extra Conditions

Example

```
sum_to(N, 1) :-  
    N <= 1,  
    !.  
sum_to(N, Res) :-  
    N1 is N-1,  
    sum_to(N1, Res1),  
    Res is Res1+N.
```

22 / 48

Cut and Not

General principle:

- ▶ When cut is used to confirm the choice of a rule, it can be replaced with `not`.
- ▶ `not (X)` succeeds when `X`, seen as a PROLOG goal, fails.
- ▶ Replacing cut with `not` is often considered a good programming style.
- ▶ However, it can make the program less efficient.
- ▶ Trade-off between readability and efficiency.

23/48

Cut and Not

Example (With Cut)

```
sum_to(1, 1) :- !.  
sum_to(N, Res) :-  
    N1 is N-1,  
    sum_to(N1, Res1),  
    Res is Res1+N.
```

Example (With Not)

```
sum_to(1, 1).  
sum_to(N, Res) :-  
    not(N = 1),  
    N1 is N-1,  
    sum_to(N1, Res1),  
    Res is Res1+N.
```

24/48

Cut and Not

Example (With Cut)

```
sum_to(1, 1) :-  
    N =< 1, !.  
    sum_to(N, Res) :-  
        N1 is N-1,  
sum_to(N1, Res1),  
    Res is Res1+N.
```

Example (With Not)

```
sum_to(1, 1) :-  
    N =< 1,  
sum_to(N, Res) :-  
    not(N =< 1), % N > 1  
    N1 is N-1,  
    sum_to(N1, Res1),  
    Res is Res1+N.
```

25/48

Double Work

not might force PROLOG to try the same goal twice:

```
A :- B, C.  
A :- not(B), D.
```

B may be tried twice after backtracking.

26/48

The "Cut-fail" Combination

`fail.`

- ▶ Built-in predicate.
- ▶ No arguments.
- ▶ Always fails as a goal and causes backtracking.

27 / 48

The "Cut-fail" Combination

`fail` **after** `cut`:

- ▶ The normal backtracking behavior will be altered by the effect of `cut`.
- ▶ Quite useful combination in practice.

28 / 48

The Average Taxpayer

Write a program to determine an average taxpayer.

Two cases:

- ▶ Foreigners are not average taxpayers.
- ▶ If a person is not a foreigner, apply the general criterion (whatever it is) to find out whether he or she is an average taxpayer.

29 / 48

The Average Taxpayer

Example

```
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    foreigner(X),  
    !, fail.  
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    satisfies_general_criterion(X).
```

What would happen had we omitted the cut?

30 / 48

The Average Taxpayer

Wrong version, without cut:

Example (Wrong)

```
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    foreigner(X),  
    fail.  
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    satisfies_general_criterion(X).
```

If there is a foreigner `widslewip` who satisfies the general criterion, the program will incorrectly answer yes on the goal

```
?- average_taxpayer(widslewip).
```

31 / 48

The Average Taxpayer

We can use cut-fail combination to define `satisfies_general_criterion`.

Two cases:

- ▶ A person whose spouse earns more than a certain amount (e.g. Euro 3000) does not satisfy the criterion of being an average taxpayer.
- ▶ If this is not the case, then a person satisfies the criterion if his income is within a certain interval (e.g. more than Euro 2000 and less than Euro 3000).

32 / 48

The Average Taxpayer

Clauses for `satisfies_general_criterion`.

Example

```
satisfies_general_criterion(X) :-  
    spouse(X, Y),  
    gross_income(Y, Inc),  
    Inc > 3000,  
    !, fail.  
satisfies_general_criterion(X) :-  
    gross_income(X, Inc),  
    Inc < 3000,  
    Inc > 2000.
```

33/48

The Average Taxpayer

We can use cut-fail combination to define `gross_income`.

Two cases:

- ▶ A person who gets a pension less than certain amount (e.g. Euro 500), is considered to have no gross income.
- ▶ Otherwise, person's gross income is determined as the sum of his/her gross salary and investment income.

34/48

The Average Taxpayer

Clauses for `gross_income`.

Example

```
gross_income(X, Y) :-  
    receives_pension(X, P),  
    P < 500,  
    !, fail.  
gross_income(X, Y) :-  
    gross_salary(X, Z),  
    investment_income(X, W),  
    Y is Z+W.
```

35/48

not with Cut and Fail

`not` can be defined in terms of cut and fail.

Example

```
not(P) :-  
    call(P),  
    !, fail.  
not(P) .
```

Notation: `\+` is used more often for `not`.

36/48

Replacing Cut with `not`

- ▶ Cut can be replaced with `not` in cut-fail combination.
- ▶ Unlike the first use of cut, this replacement does not affect efficiency.
- ▶ However, more reorganization of the program is required.

Example

```
average_taxpayer(X) :-  
    not(foreigner(X)),  
    not(spouse(X,Y), gross_income(Y,Inc), Inc>3000),  
    ...
```

37 / 48

Terminating a "Generate-and-Test"

"Generate-and-Test":

- ▶ One of the simplest AI search techniques.
- ▶ **Generate**: Generate all possible solutions to a problem.
- ▶ **Test**: Test each to see whether they are a solution.
- ▶ A possible solution is generated and then tested.
- ▶ If the test succeeds a solution is found.
- ▶ otherwise, backtrack to next possible solution.

38 / 48

Tic-Tac-Toe

Tic-Tac-Toe game: Get three in a row, column, or diagonal:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| X | | O |
| O | O | |
| X | X | X |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| X | X | O |
| O | X | |
| O | X | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| O | | O |
| X | O | |
| X | X | O |

Representation:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 |

39 / 48

Tic-Tac-Toe

We will show a part of the program to play Tic-Tac-Toe.

Used predicates:

- ▶ `var`: built-in predicate. `var(T)` succeeds if `T` is a free variable.
- ▶ `arg`: built-in predicate. `arg(N, T, A)` succeeds if `A` is `N`th argument of the term `T`.
- ▶ `aline`: defined predicate. Generator of possible lines. For instance, `aline([1, 5, 9])` is the following line:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| X | | |
| | X | |
| | | X |

40 / 48

Part of the Program for Tic-Tac-Toe

The opponent (playing with crosses) is threatening to claim a line:

```
threatening([X,Y,Z], B, X) :-  
    empty(X, B), cross(Y, B), cross(Z, B).
```

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| X | | |
| X | | |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | X | X |
| | | |
| | | |

41/48

Part of the Program

Example

```
forced_move(Board, Sq) :-  
    aline(Squares),  
    threatening(Squares, Board, Sq),  
    !.
```

```
aline([1,2,3]).  
aline([4,5,6]).  
aline([7,8,9]).  
aline([1,4,7]).  
aline([2,5,8]).  
aline([3,6,9]).  
aline([1,5,9]).  
aline([3,5,7]).
```

42/48

Part of the Program

Example (Cont.)

```
threatening([X,Y,Z], B, X) :-  
    empty(X, B),  
    cross(Y, B),  
    cross(Z, B).  
threatening([X,Y,Z], B, Y) :-  
    cross(X, B),  
    empty(Y, B),  
    cross(Z, B).  
threatening([X,Y,Z], B, Z) :-  
    cross(X, B),  
    cross(Y, B),  
    empty(Z, B).
```

43/48

forced_move

`forced_move` implements "generate-and-test":

- ▶ Moves Generated by `alines`: All possible ways that cross can win.
- ▶ Moves Tested by `threatening`: If cross can win in the next move.
- ▶ If no forced moves are found, then the predicate fails and some other predicate would decide what move to make.

44/48

Cut

Suppose embedded in a larger program:

- ▶ If `forced_move` successfully finds a move then `Sq` becomes instantiated to the move.
- ▶ If, later, a failure occurs (after this instantiation) `forced_move` would retry.
- ▶ Cut can prevent PROLOG to search further (which would be futile) and not waste time.
- ▶ When we look for forced moves it is only the first solution that is important.

45 / 48

Problems with the Cut

Cut changes behavior of programs:

- ▶ Introducing cuts may give a correct behavior when goals are of one form.
- ▶ There is no guarantee that anything sensible will happen if goals of another form start appearing.

46 / 48

Problems with the Cut

Example

```
number_of_parents(adam, 0) :- !.  
number_of_parents(eve, 0) :- !.  
number_of_parents(_, 2).
```

```
?- number_of_parents(eve, X).  
X = 0 ;  
false
```

```
?- number_of_parents(john, X).  
X = 2 ;  
false
```

```
?- number_of_parents(eve, 2).  
true
```

47/48

Problems with the Cut

Example

```
number_of_parents(adam, N) :- !, N=0.  
number_of_parents(eve, N) :- !, N=0.  
number_of_parents(_, 2).
```

```
?- number_of_parents(eve, 2).  
false
```

However, it will still not work properly if we give goals such as

```
?- number_of_parents(X, Y).
```

48/48