Logic-based Program Verification First-Order Logic

Mădălina Erașcu Tudor Jebelean

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

{merascu,tjebelea}@risc.jku.at

October 23, 2013



Outline

Syntax

Semantics

Equivalences of Formulas

Normal Forms

(Un)Satisfiability & (In)Validity

Outline

Syntax

Semantics

Equivalences of Formulas

Normal Forms

(Un)Satisfiability & (In)Validity

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas.

Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- If f is an n-place function symbol, and t₁, ..., t_n are terms then f[t₁, ..., t_n] is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas. Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- **3.** If f is an n-place function symbol, and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $f[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas. Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- **3.** If f is an n-place function symbol, and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $f[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas. Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- **3.** If f is an n-place function symbol, and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $f[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas. Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- **3.** If f is an n-place function symbol, and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $f[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas. Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- **3.** If f is an n-place function symbol, and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $f[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas. Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- **3.** If f is an n-place function symbol, and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $f[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas. Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- **3.** If f is an n-place function symbol, and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $f[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

The language of FOL consists in terms and formulas. Terms are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. A constant is a term.
- 2. A variable is a term.
- **3.** If f is an n-place function symbol, and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $f[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is a term.
- 4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

If P is an n-place predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms then $P[t_1, ..., t_n]$ is an atom.

An atom is \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{F} , or an *n*-ary predicate applied to *n* terms.

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then ∀F[x] and ∃F[x] are formulas.
- Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists y \left(E[y, f[x]] \land \forall z (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]) \right)$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If *F* is a formula and *x* is a free variable, then $\forall F[x] \text{ and } \exists F[x] \text{ are formulas.}$
- **4.** Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists \left(E[y, f[x]] \land \forall z (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]) \right)$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then ∀F[x] and ∃F[x] are formulas.
- 4. Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists \left(E[y, f[x]] \land \forall z (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]) \right)$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then $\forall_x F[x]$ and $\exists_x F[x]$ are formulas.
- **4.** Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists f[y, f[x]] \land \forall f[z] \in E[y, z]$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then $\forall_x F[x]$ and $\exists_x F[x]$ are formulas.
- **4.** Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists \left(E[y, f[x]] \land \forall z (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]) \right)$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then $\forall_x F[x]$ and $\exists_x F[x]$ are formulas.
- **4.** Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists y \left(E[y, f[x]] \land \forall z (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]) \right)$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then $\forall_x F[x]$ and $\exists_x F[x]$ are formulas.
- 4. Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

- **1.** $\forall x + 1 \ge x$
- **2.** $\neg (\exists E[0, f[x]])$
- 3. $\bigvee_{x \to y} \exists \left(E[y, f[x]] \land \bigvee_{z} \left(E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z] \right) \right)$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then $\forall_x F[x]$ and $\exists_x F[x]$ are formulas.
- **4.** Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists (E[y, f[x]] \land \forall (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]))$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then $\forall_x F[x]$ and $\exists_x F[x]$ are formulas.
- **4.** Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists (E[y, f[x]] \land \forall (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]))$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If F is a formula and x is a free variable, then $\forall_x F[x]$ and $\exists_x F[x]$ are formulas.
- **4.** Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists (E[y, f[x]] \land \forall (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]))$

Formulas are defined as follows:

- 1. An atom is a formula.
- **2.** If *F* and *G* are formulas then $\neg F$, $F \lor G$, $F \land G$, $F \Longrightarrow G$, and $F \iff G$ are formulas.
- **3.** If *F* is a formula and *x* is a free variable, then $\forall_x F[x]$ and $\exists_x F[x]$ are formulas.
- **4.** Formulas are generated only by a finite number of applications of the above rules.

A variable is bound in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x in the scope of a binding quantifier \forall or \exists .

A variable is free in formula F[x] if there is an occurrence of x that is not bound by any quantifier.

1.
$$\forall x + 1 \ge x$$

2. $\neg \left(\exists E[0, f[x]] \right)$
3. $\forall \exists y \left(E[y, f[x]] \land \forall z (E[z, f[x]] \Rightarrow E[y, z]) \right)$

Outline

Syntax

Semantics

Equivalences of Formulas

Normal Forms

(Un)Satisfiability & (In)Validity

An interpretation I of a formula F in FOL consists of a nonempty domain D and an assignment of values to each constant, function, symbol and predicate symbol occurring in F as follows:

- \blacktriangleright to each constant we assign an element in D
- \blacktriangleright to each function symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to D
- to each predicate symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to $\{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\}$.

An interpretation I of a formula F in FOL consists of a nonempty domain D and an assignment of values to each constant, function, symbol and predicate symbol occurring in F as follows:

- ▶ to each constant we assign an element in D
- \triangleright to each function symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to D
- to each predicate symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to $\{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\}$.

An interpretation I of a formula F in FOL consists of a nonempty domain D and an assignment of values to each constant, function, symbol and predicate symbol occurring in F as follows:

- \blacktriangleright to each constant we assign an element in D
- to each function symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to D
- to each predicate symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to $\{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\}$.

An interpretation I of a formula F in FOL consists of a nonempty domain D and an assignment of values to each constant, function, symbol and predicate symbol occurring in F as follows:

- \blacktriangleright to each constant we assign an element in D
- ▶ to each function symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to D
- to each predicate symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to $\{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\}$.

An interpretation I of a formula F in FOL consists of a nonempty domain D and an assignment of values to each constant, function, symbol and predicate symbol occurring in F as follows:

- \blacktriangleright to each constant we assign an element in D
- ▶ to each function symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to D
- to each predicate symbol we assign a mapping from D^n to $\{\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F}\}$.

Semantics (cont'd)

Example: Find the truth value of the formula $F : \iff \bigvee_{x = y} \exists x + y > c$, where

$$I: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D = \{0,1\} \\ c_I = 0 \\ +_I \to +_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ >_I \to >_{\mathbb{Z}} \end{array} \right.$$

Outline

Syntax

Semantics

Equivalences of Formulas

Normal Forms

(Un)Satisfiability & (In)Validity

Equivalences of Formulas

Two formulas F and G are equivalent iff the truth values of F and G are the same under any interpretation.

Equivalences of Formulas

$$F \iff G \equiv (F \Rightarrow G) \land (G \Rightarrow F)$$

$$F \Rightarrow G \equiv \neg F \lor G$$

$$F \lor G \equiv G \lor F$$

$$(F \lor G) \lor H \equiv F \lor (G \lor H)$$

$$F \lor (G \land H) \equiv (F \lor G) \land (F \lor H)$$

$$F \lor \mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$F \lor \mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$F \lor \mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$F \lor \mathbb{T} \equiv F$$

$$F \lor \neg F \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$\neg (\neg F) \equiv F$$

$$\neg (F \land G) \equiv \neg F \lor \neg G$$

$$(Qx)F[x] \lor G \equiv (Qx)(F[x] \lor G)$$

$$\neg (F \land G) \equiv (Qx)(F[x] \land G)$$

$$\neg (F \land G) = (Qx$$

Equivalences of Formulas

$$F \iff G \equiv (F \Rightarrow G) \land (G \Rightarrow F)$$

$$F \Rightarrow G \equiv \neg F \lor G$$

$$F \lor G \equiv G \lor F$$

$$(F \lor G) \lor H \equiv F \lor (G \lor H)$$

$$F \lor (G \land H) \equiv (F \lor G) \land (F \lor H)$$

$$F \lor \mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$F \lor \mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$F \lor \mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$F \lor \mathbb{T} \equiv F$$

$$F \lor \neg F \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$\neg (\neg F) \equiv F$$

$$\neg (\neg F) \equiv [\mathbb{T}]$$

$$\neg (F \land G) \equiv \neg F \lor \neg G$$

$$(Qx)F[x] \lor G \equiv (Qx)(F[x] \lor G)$$

$$\neg (\exists x)F[x] \equiv \forall \neg F[x]$$

$$\forall F[x] \lor \forall G[x] \equiv \forall (F[x] \lor G[x])$$

$$F[x] \land \exists G[x] \equiv \exists (F[x] \lor G[x])$$

$$F[x] \land \forall G[x] \equiv \forall F[x] \lor \forall G[x]$$

$$F[x] \land \forall G[x] \equiv \forall F[x] \lor \forall G[y]$$

$$\exists F[x] \land \forall G[x] \equiv \forall F[x] \lor \forall G[y]$$

$$= f[x] \land \exists G[x] \equiv \exists F[x] \land \forall G[y]$$

Outline

Syntax

Semantics

Equivalences of Formulas

Normal Forms

(Un)Satisfiability & (In)Validity

Normal forms:

- **1.** CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \wedge , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula F in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ M, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and M is quantifier-free.

Normal forms:

- 1. CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \wedge , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula F in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ M, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and M is quantifier-free.

Normal forms:

- 1. CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \wedge , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula F in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ M, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and M is quantifier-free.

Normal forms:

- 1. CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \wedge , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula F in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ M, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and M is quantifier-free.

Normal forms:

- 1. CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \wedge , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula F in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ M, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and M is quantifier-free.

Normal forms:

- 1. CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \land , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula F in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ M, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and M is quantifier-free.

Normal forms:

- 1. CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \wedge , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula F in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ M, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and M is quantifier-free.

Normal forms:

- 1. CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \wedge , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula *F* in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ *M*, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and *M* is quantifier-free.

Normal forms:

- 1. CNF
- 2. DNF
- 3. negation normal form (NNF)
- 4. prenex normal form (PNF)
- 5. Skolem standard form

Negation normal form (NNF) requires that \neg , \wedge , and \lor to be the only logical connectives and that negations appear only in literals.

A formula *F* in FOL is said to be in prenex normal form (PNF) iff the formula is in the form $(Q_1x_1)...(Q_nx_n)$ *M*, where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and *M* is quantifier-free.

Examples:

1. Prove the following by bringing the formulas into conjunctive normal form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall P[x] \\ x \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow Q \equiv \exists_x (P[x] \Rightarrow Q).$$

2. Bring the following formulas into Skolem standard form $\bigvee_{\substack{x \in y, z \\ x \neq y, z}} \exists ((\neg P[x, y] \land Q[x, z]) \lor R[x, y, z])$

 $\bigvee_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} \left(\exists P[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}] \land P[\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}] \right) \; \Rightarrow \; \exists Q[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{u}]$

Examples:

1. Prove the following by bringing the formulas into conjunctive normal form $(\forall P[v]) \Rightarrow Q = \exists (P[v] \Rightarrow Q)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall P[x] \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow Q \equiv \exists_x (P[x] \Rightarrow Q).$$

2. Bring the following formulas into Skolem standard form $\bigvee_{\substack{x \ y,z}} \exists ((\neg P[x,y] \land Q[x,z]) \lor R[x,y,z])$ $\forall (\exists P[x,z] \land P[y,z]) \Rightarrow \exists Q[x,y,u]$

Examples:

1. Prove the following by bringing the formulas into conjunctive normal form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall P[x] \\ x \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow Q \equiv \exists_x (P[x] \Rightarrow Q).$$

2. Bring the following formulas into Skolem standard form

$$\forall \exists ((\neg P[x,y] \land Q[x,z]) \lor R[x,y,z])$$

$$\forall (\exists P[x,z] \land P[y,z]) \Rightarrow \exists Q[x,y,u]$$

Examples:

1. Prove the following by bringing the formulas into conjunctive normal form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall P[x] \\ x \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow Q \equiv \exists_x (P[x] \Rightarrow Q).$$

2. Bring the following formulas into Skolem standard form

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall \exists x \ y,z \ \forall y,z \ y,z \ \forall y,z \ y,z \ \forall y,z \ \forall y,z \ y,z \ y,z \ \forall y,z \ y,z \ \forall y,z \ \forall y,z \ \forall y,z \ \forall y,z \ \forall$$

Examples:

1. Prove the following by bringing the formulas into conjunctive normal form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall P[x] \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow Q \equiv \exists_x (P[x] \Rightarrow Q).$$

2. Bring the following formulas into Skolem standard form

$$\forall \exists_{x \ y, z} ((\neg P[x, y] \land Q[x, z]) \lor R[x, y, z])$$
$$\forall (\exists P[x, z] \land P[y, z]) \Rightarrow \exists Q[x, y, u]$$

Outline

Syntax

Semantics

Equivalences of Formulas

Normal Forms

(Un)Satisfiability & (In)Validity

A formula *F* is satisfiable iff there exists an interpretation *I* such that $I \models F$.

A formula *F* is valid iff for all interpretations *I*, $I \models F$.

Note that validity and satisfiability applies to closed formulas.

Examples: Prove that

- ▶ $\forall P[x] \land \exists \neg P[y]$ is inconsistent.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \forall P[x] \Rightarrow \ \exists P[y] \text{ is valid.}$

A formula *F* is satisfiable iff there exists an interpretation *I* such that $I \models F$.

A formula F is valid iff for all interpretations I, $I \models F$.

Note that validity and satisfiability applies to closed formulas.

Examples: Prove that

▶ $\forall P[x] \land \exists \neg P[y]$ is inconsistent.

 $\blacktriangleright \quad \forall P[x] \Rightarrow \exists P[y] \text{ is valid.}$

A formula *F* is satisfiable iff there exists an interpretation *I* such that $I \models F$.

A formula F is valid iff for all interpretations I, $I \models F$.

Note that validity and satisfiability applies to closed formulas.

Examples: Prove that

- ▶ $\forall P[x] \land \exists \neg P[y]$ is inconsistent
- $\blacktriangleright \forall P[x] \Rightarrow \exists P[y] \text{ is valid.}$

A formula *F* is satisfiable iff there exists an interpretation *I* such that $I \models F$.

A formula F is valid iff for all interpretations I, $I \models F$.

Note that validity and satisfiability applies to closed formulas.

Examples: Prove that

▶ $\forall P[x] \land \exists \neg P[y] \text{ is inconsistent.}$ ▶ $\forall P[x] \Rightarrow \exists P[y] \text{ is valid.}$

A formula *F* is satisfiable iff there exists an interpretation *I* such that $I \models F$.

A formula F is valid iff for all interpretations I, $I \models F$.

Note that validity and satisfiability applies to closed formulas.

Examples: Prove that

•
$$\forall P[x] \land \exists \neg P[y] \text{ is inconsistent.}$$

 $\overset{\mathbf{x}}{\underset{x}{\forall}} \overset{\mathbf{y}}{\underset{x}{\forall}} P[x] \Rightarrow \underset{y}{\exists} P[y] \text{ is valid.}$

A formula *F* is satisfiable iff there exists an interpretation *I* such that $I \models F$.

A formula F is valid iff for all interpretations I, $I \models F$.

Note that validity and satisfiability applies to closed formulas.

Examples: Prove that

•
$$\forall P[x] \land \exists \neg P[y]$$
 is inconsistent.

$$\blacktriangleright \quad \forall P[x] \Rightarrow \exists P[y] \text{ is valid.}$$