Chapter 1: Syntax and Semantics

- 1. Consider the language of binary numerals introduced in Section 1.1. Construct an abstract syntax tree for the expression $1 + 11 + 1 \times 10$. Do the usual precedence rules for \times and + (" \times binds stronger than +") allow only one such tree? If not, give all possible trees.
- Define the abstract syntax of a language of decimal numerals. This language has a domain *Digit* whose elements are the decimal digits 0, 1, ..., 9, and a domain *Num* whose elements are non-empty sequences of decimal digits, such as 0, 99, or 271. Give this language a semantics by defining functions [[·]]: *Digit* → N and [[·]]: *Num* → N that map digits respectively numerals to natural numbers.
- Define the abstract syntax of a language with a single domain *Exp* of arithmetic expressions with constants 0 and 1 and addition, negation, subtraction, multiplication, and division. Give this language a semantics by defining a function [[·]]: *Exp* → Q ∪ {nan} that maps every expression to a rational number or to the special constant nan ("not a number"). This special value is the result of division by zero or the result of any operation whose operand is not a number; thus we have, e.g., [[1 + (1/(1 1))]] = nan.
- 4. Consider the language of binary numerals introduced in Section 1.1. Define by structural induction a function [[·]]: *Numeral* → *Expression* that syntactically "simplifies" numerals by removing leading occurrences of 0, e.g., [[00010]] = 10. Based on this function, define a function [[·]]: *Expression* → *Expression* that syntactically "simplifies" arithmetic expressions by considering the equational laws n + 0 = 0 + n = n, 0 · n = n · 0 = 0, and 1 · n = n · 1 = n. For example, we have [[1 · 000 + 011]] = 11.
- 5. Define the abstract syntax of a language of number and list expressions. This language has a single domain *Exp* with constants 0 and 1 and the usual operations for addition and multiplication (these expressions denote natural numbers); furthermore, the domain has constant nil (the empty list), a binary function cons (which prepends a number to a list), a unary function head (which returns the first number of a list), and a unary function tail (which returns the remainder of a list). Give this language a type system with judgements E: num ("E is a number expression") and E: list ("E is a list expression"). Show the derivation of the judgement head(tail(cons(1,cons(1+1,nil)))): num.
- 6. Define the abstract syntax of a numeric expression language with three domains *Ident*, *Decl* and *Exp*. The domain *Ident* contains infinitely many identifiers that are not further specified. The domain *Decl* consists of sequences of definitions of form $I_1 = E_1, \ldots, I_n = E_n$ with $n \ge 1$ (this domain is modeled by one constructor that constructs a sequence of a single declaration I = E and a constructor that adds such a declaration to another sequence). The domain *Exp* is constructed from constants 0 and 1, identifiers, operations for addition and multiplication and a "block expression" let D in E where D is a declaration sequence and E is an expression. An example expression is let $I_1 = I_0 + 1, I_2 = I_1 \times 1$ in $I_0 + I_1 \times I_2$.

Wolfgang Schreiner

March 31, 2021

- 7. Consider the numeric expression language of Exercise 6. Give this language a type system with the judgements $I_S \vdash D$: decl(I_S ') ("D is a well-formed list of declarations that extends the set of declared identifiers I_S to the set I_S ") and $I_S \vdash E$: exp ("given the set of declared identifiers I_S , E is a well-formed expression"). Show how by this type system the judgement $\{I_0\} \vdash \text{let } I_1 = I_0 + 1, I_2 = I_1 \times 1 \text{ in } I_0 + I_1 \times I_2$: exp can be derived.
- 8. Define the abstract syntax of a language whose phrases are "bit matrices" of arbitrary dimension. In detail, this language has a domain *Bit* whose only values are the constants 0 and 1. The domain *Row* consists of finite sequences $[b_1, \ldots, b_m]$ of $m \ge 1$ bits and the domain *Matrix* consists of finite sequences $[r_1, \ldots, r_n]$ of $n \ge 1$ rows. Give this language a type system with a judgement $r: \operatorname{row}(m)$ ("r is a row of length m") and $m: \operatorname{matrix}(n,m)$ ("m is a matrix with n rows and m columns"). Show how the judgement [[0, 1, 0], [1, 1, 0]]: matrix(2, 3) can be derived. Give this language a semantics that determines the number of bits in a row respectively matrix by functions $[\![\cdot]\!]: Row \to \mathbb{N}$ and $[\![\cdot]\!]: Matrix \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $[\![1, 1, 0]\!] = 2$ and $[\![[0, 1, 0], [1, 1, 0]]\!] = 3$.
- 9. Define the abstract syntax of a language with a single domain *Exp* of arithmetic expressions with constants 1 and 1.0 and addition, negation, subtraction, multiplication, and division. Give this language a type system with two judgements *e*: int and *e*: real interpreted as "*e* is an integer expression" and "*r* is a real expression", respectively; this type system has axioms 1: int and 1.0: real; its rules assign to to the result of any operation an integer type only if all operands are integer expressions (otherwise the result is a real expression). Give this language a denotational semantics by defining a function [[·]]: *Exp* → ℝ ∪ {nan}. Prove by rule induction that, if we can derive *e*: int, then we indeed have [[*e*]] ∈ ℤ ∪ {nan} (see Exercise 3 for the interpretation of nan).
- 10. Define the abstract syntax of a language of a hand-held calculator by which the user can evaluate a sequence of arithmetic expressions. This language has a domain *Exp* of arithmetic expressions that contains the constants 0, 1, the constant \$, and addition and multiplication (here \$ represents the value of the previously evaluated expression, more on this below). Furthermore, there is a domain *Seq* that contains all expression sequences of form $E_1; \ldots; E_n$ where $n \ge 0$ (this domain is modeled by the empty sequence constructor _ and the constructor *E*; *Es* that prepends expression *E* to sequence *Es*).

Give this language a semantics by defining a function $[\![\cdot]\!]: Exp \to (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N})$ that maps an expression to a function over the natural numbers. Here an application $[\![E]\!](n)$ receives the value *n* of the expression that was evaluated immediately before *E* (i.e., *n* is the value of \$\$) and returns the value of *E*. Likewise define a function $[\![\cdot]\!]: Seq \to (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}^*)$ that maps an expression sequence to a function from the natural numbers to a sequence of such numbers. Here $[\![Es]\!](n)$ receives the value *n* of the expression that was evaluated immediately before *Es* and returns the values of the expressions in the sequence. Thus we have, e.g., the evaluation $[\![1+1+\$; (1+\$)\times\$; 1+\$]](0) = [2,6,7]$ (which provides the initial value 0 for constant \$).

Wolfgang Schreiner

March 31, 2021