Logic Programming Efficiency Issues

Temur Kutsia

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria kutsia@risc.jku.at

(日)

Efficiency Issues in Prolog

- Narrow the Search
- Let Unification do the Work
- Avoid assert and retract
- Understand Tokenization
- Avoid String Processing
- Recognize Tail Recursion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- Let Indexing Help
- Use Accumulators
- Use Difference Lists

Narrow the Search

Efficient programs must search efficiently.

Example

Knowledge base contains 1000 grey objects and 10 horses.

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

?- horse(X), grey(X).

is 100 times as fast as

?- grey(X), horse(X).

Narrow the search space as early as possible.

Narrow the Search

Example

Determine whether two lists are equal as sets.

Bad solution: set_equal(L1,L2) :- permute(L1,L2).

N element list has N! permutations.

Testing set-equality of 20-element list can require 2.4×10^{18} comparisons.

Better solution:

set_equal(L1,L2) :- sort(L1,L3), sort(L2,L3).

N-element list can be sorted in $N \log N$ steps. Faster than the first solution by a factor of more than 10^{16} .

Let Unification do the Work

Example

Write a predicate that accepts a list and succeeds if the list has three elements.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Bad solution:

had_three_elements(L):-length(L,N),N=3.

Slightly better solution:

```
had_three_elements(L):-length(L,3).
```

Good solution:

```
had_three_elements([_,_,]).
```

Let Unification do the Work

Example

Write a predicate that accepts a list and generates from it a similar list with the first two elements swapped.

```
Good solution:
swap_first_two([A,B|Rest],[B,A|Rest]).
```

The data structures [A, B|Rest] and [B, A|Rest], or templates for them, are created when the program is compiled, and unification gives values to the variables at run time.

Avoid assert and retract

Reasons:

- assert and retract are relatively slow and they lead to a messy logic.
- In many implementations the dynamic predicates can not run in a full compiled speed.
- The effect of assert and retract can not be undone by backtracking.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Programs get hard to debug.

Legitimate Uses:

- ► To record new knowledge in the knowledge base.
- To store the intermediate results of a computation that must backtrack past the point at which it gets its result. (Think about using setof or bagof instead. It might be faster.)

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

Fundamental unit: Term (numbers, atoms, structures).

- Numbers are stored in fixed-point or floating-point binary.
- Atoms are stored in a symbol table in which each atom occurs only once.
- Atoms in the program are replaced by their addresses in the symbol table (tokenization).

Understand Tokenization

Because of tokenization the structure

f('What a long atom this seems to be',
 'What a long atom this seems to be',
 'What a long atom this seems to be')
is more compact than

g(aaaaa,bbbbb,ccccc).

- To compare two atoms, even long ones, the computer needs only compare their addresses.
- By contrast, comparing lists or structures requires every element to be examined individually.

Avoid String Processing

Strings:

- Lists of numbers representing ASCII codes of characters.
- abc an atom.
- "abc" a list [97, 98, 99].
- Strings are designed to be easily taken apart.
- Their only proper use is in situations where access to the individual characters is essential.

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

Recursion:

- Can be inefficient.
- Each procedure call requires information to be saved so that control can return to the calling procedure.
- If a clause calls itself 1000 times, there will be 1000 copies of its stack frame in memory.

Exception:

- Tail Recursion.
- Control need not return to the calling procedure because there is nothing more for it to do.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Tail recursion exists when:

The recursive call is the last subgoal in the clause, and

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- There are no untried alternative clauses, and
- There are no untried alternatives for any subgoal preceding the recursive call in the same clause.

Example

This predicate is tail recursive.

```
test1 :- write(hello), nl, test1.
```

Example

This predicate is not tail recursive because the recursive call is not last.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

```
test2 :- test2, write(hello), nl.
```

Example

This predicate is **not** tail recursive because it has an untried alternative.

```
test3:- write(hello), nl, test3.
test3:- write(goodbye).
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Example

This predicate is not tail recursive because a subgoal has an untried alternative.

test4:- g, write(hello), nl, test4.
g:- write(starting).
g:- write(beginning).

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

To match the query

?- f(a,b).

PROLOG does not look at all the clauses in the knowledge base.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

It looks only the clauses for f.

Indexing.

Implementation dependent.

- Many implementations index not only the predicate symbol but also the main functor of the first argument
- First-argument indexing.
- ▶ For ?- f(a,b).

The search considers only clauses that match f(a, ...) and neglects clauses such as f(b, c).

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Let Indexing Help

Consequences of (first-argument) indexing. Argument order:

The first argument should be the one most likely to be known at search time, and

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Preferably the most diverse.
- Better to have
 - f(a, x). f(b, x). f(c, x). than f(x, a). f(x, b). f(x, c).

Let Indexing Help

Consequences of (first-argument) indexing.

Indexing can make a predicate tail recursive when it otherwise would not be.

Example

```
p(f(A,B)) :- p(A).
p(a).
```

is tail-recursive because indexing eliminates $p\left(a\right)$ from consideration.