

Introduction to Logic Programming

Foundations, First-Order Language

Temur Kutsia

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation
Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria
kutsia@risc.jku.at

1/28

What is a Logic Program

- ▶ Logic program is a set of certain formulas of a first-order language.
- ▶ In this lecture: syntax and semantics of a first-order language.

2/28

Introductory Examples

- ▶ Representing “John loves Mary”: $loves(John, Mary)$.
- ▶ $loves$: a binary predicate (relation) symbol.
- ▶ Intended meaning: The object in the first argument of $loves$ loves the object in its second argument.
- ▶ $John, Mary$: constants.
- ▶ Intended meaning: To denote persons John and Mary, respectively.

3/28

Introductory Examples

- ▶ $father$: A unary function symbol.
- ▶ Intended meaning: The father of the object in its argument.
- ▶ John’s father loves John: $loves(father(John), John)$.

4/28

First-Order Language

- ▶ Syntax
- ▶ Semantics

5/28

Syntax

- ▶ Alphabet
- ▶ Terms
- ▶ Formulas

6/28

Alphabet

A first-order alphabet consists of the following disjoint sets of symbols:

- ▶ A countable set of variables \mathcal{V} .
- ▶ For each $n \geq 0$, a set of n -ary function symbols \mathcal{F}^n . Elements of \mathcal{F}^0 are called constants.
- ▶ For each $n \geq 0$, a set of n -ary predicate symbols \mathcal{P}^n .
- ▶ Logical connectives $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$.
- ▶ Quantifiers \exists, \forall .
- ▶ Parenthesis '(', ')', and comma ','.

Notation:

- ▶ x, y, z for variables.
- ▶ f, g for function symbols.
- ▶ a, b, c for constants.
- ▶ p, q for predicate symbols.

7/28

Terms

Definition

- ▶ A variable is a term.
- ▶ If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms and $f \in \mathcal{F}^n$, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term.
- ▶ Nothing else is a term.

Notation:

- ▶ s, t, r for terms.

Example

- ▶ $plus(plus(x, 1), x)$ is a term, where $plus$ is a binary function symbol, 1 is a constant, x is a variable.
- ▶ $father(father(John))$ is a term, where $father$ is a unary function symbol and $John$ is a constant.

8/28

Formulas

Definition

- ▶ If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms and $p \in \mathcal{P}^n$, then $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a formula. It is called an **atomic formula**.
- ▶ If A is a formula, $(\neg A)$ is a formula.
- ▶ If A and B are formulas, then $(A \vee B)$, $(A \wedge B)$, $(A \Rightarrow B)$, and $(A \Leftrightarrow B)$ are formulas.
- ▶ If A is a formula, then $(\exists x.A)$ and $(\forall x.A)$ are formulas.
- ▶ Nothing else is a formula.

Notation:

- ▶ A, B for formulas.

9/28

Eliminating Parentheses

- ▶ Excessive use of parentheses often can be avoided by introducing **binding order**.
- ▶ \neg, \forall, \exists bind stronger than \vee .
- ▶ \vee binds stronger than \wedge .
- ▶ \wedge binds stronger than \Rightarrow and \Leftrightarrow .
- ▶ Furthermore, omit the outer parentheses and associate $\vee, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$ to the right.

10/28

Eliminating Parentheses

Example

The formula

$$(\forall y. (\forall x. ((p(x)) \wedge (\neg r(y))) \Rightarrow ((\neg q(x)) \vee (A \vee B))))))$$

due to binding order can be rewritten into

$$(\forall y. (\forall x. (p(x) \wedge \neg r(y) \Rightarrow \neg q(x) \vee (A \vee B))))))$$

which thanks to the convention of the association to the right and omitting the outer parentheses further simplifies to

$$\forall y. \forall x. (p(x) \wedge \neg r(y) \Rightarrow \neg q(x) \vee A \vee B).$$

11/28

Example

Translating English sentences into first-order logic formulas:

1. Every rational number is a real number.

$$\forall x. (\text{rational}(x) \Rightarrow \text{real}(x))$$

2. There exists a number that is prime.

$$\exists x. \text{prime}(x)$$

3. For every number x , there exists a number y such that $x < y$.

$$\forall x. \exists y. x < y$$

Assume:

- ▶ $\text{rational}, \text{real}, \text{prime}$: unary predicate symbols.
- ▶ $<$: binary predicate symbol.

12/28

Example

Translating English sentences into first-order logic formulas:

1. There is no natural number whose immediate successor is 0.

$$\neg \exists x. zero \doteq succ(x)$$

2. For each natural number there exists exactly one immediate successor natural number.

$$\forall x. \exists y. (y \doteq succ(x) \wedge \forall z. (z \doteq succ(x) \Rightarrow y \doteq z))$$

3. For each nonzero natural number there exists exactly one immediate predecessor natural number.

$$\forall x. (\neg(x \doteq zero) \Rightarrow \exists y. (y \doteq pred(x) \wedge \forall z. (z \doteq pred(x) \Rightarrow y \doteq z)))$$

Assume:

- ▶ *zero*: constant
- ▶ *succ*, *pred*: unary function symbols.
- ▶ \doteq : binary predicate symbol.

13/28

Semantics

- ▶ Meaning of a first-order language consists of an universe and an appropriate meaning of each symbol.
- ▶ This pair is called structure.
- ▶ Structure fixes interpretation of function and predicate symbols.
- ▶ Meaning of variables is determined by a variable assignment.
- ▶ Interpretation of terms and formulas.

14/28

Structure

- ▶ Structure: a pair (D, I) .
- ▶ D is a nonempty universe, the domain of interpretation.
- ▶ I is an interpretation function defined on D that fixes the meaning of each symbol associating
 - ▶ to each $f \in \mathcal{F}^n$ an n -ary function $f_I : D^n \rightarrow D$,
(in particular, $c_I \in D$ for each constant c)
 - ▶ to each $p \in \mathcal{P}^n$ different from \doteq , an n -ary relation p_I on D .

15/28

Variable Assignment

- ▶ A structure $\mathcal{S} = (D, I)$ is given.
- ▶ Variable assignment $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ maps each $x \in \mathcal{V}$ into an element of D : $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}(x) \in D$.
- ▶ Given a variable x , an assignment $\vartheta_{\mathcal{S}}$ is called an x -variant of $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ iff $\vartheta_{\mathcal{S}}(y) = \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}(y)$ for all $y \neq x$.

16/28

Interpretation of Terms

- ▶ A structure $\mathcal{S} = (D, I)$ and a variable assignment $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ are given.
- ▶ Value of a term t under \mathcal{S} and $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$, $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(t)$:
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(x) = \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$.
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = f_I(Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(t_1), \dots, Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(t_n))$.

17/28

Interpretation of Formulas

- ▶ A structure $\mathcal{S} = (D, I)$ and a variable assignment $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ are given.
- ▶ Value of an atomic formula under \mathcal{S} and $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ is one of *true*, *false*:
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(s \doteq t) = true$ iff $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(s) = Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(t)$.
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(p(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = true$ iff $(Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(t_1), \dots, Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(t_n)) \in p_I$.

18/28

Interpretation of Formulas

- ▶ A structure $\mathcal{S} = (D, I)$ and a variable assignment $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ are given.
- ▶ Values of compound formulas under \mathcal{S} and $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ are also either *true* or *false*:
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(\neg A) = true$ iff $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A) = false$.
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A \vee B) = true$ iff
 $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A) = true$ or $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(B) = true$.
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A \wedge B) = true$ iff
 $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A) = true$ and $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(B) = true$.
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A \Rightarrow B) = true$ iff
 $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A) = false$ or $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(B) = true$.
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A \Leftrightarrow B) = true$ iff $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A) = Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(B)$.
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(\exists x.A) = true$ iff
 $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \vartheta_{\mathcal{S}}}(A) = true$ for some x -variant $\vartheta_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$.
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(\forall x.A) = true$ iff
 $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \vartheta_{\mathcal{S}}}(A) = true$ for all x -variants $\vartheta_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$.

19/28

Interpretation of Formulas

- ▶ A structure $\mathcal{S} = (D, I)$ is given.
- ▶ The value of a formula A under \mathcal{S} is either *true* or *false*:
 - ▶ $Val_{\mathcal{S}}(A) = true$ iff $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(A) = true$ for all $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$.
- ▶ \mathcal{S} is called a model of A iff $Val_{\mathcal{S}}(A) = true$.
- ▶ Written $\models_{\mathcal{S}} A$.

20/28

Example

- ▶ Formula: $\forall x.(p(x) \Rightarrow q(f(x), a))$
- ▶ Define $\mathcal{S} = (D, I)$ as
 - ▶ $D = \{1, 2\}$,
 - ▶ $a_I = 1$,
 - ▶ $f_I(1) = 2, f_I(2) = 1$,
 - ▶ $p_I = \{2\}$,
 - ▶ $q_I = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2)\}$.
- ▶ If $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = 1$, then $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(\forall x.(p(x) \Rightarrow q(f(x), a))) = true$.
- ▶ If $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = 2$, then $Val_{\mathcal{S}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}}(\forall x.(p(x) \Rightarrow q(f(x), a))) = true$.
- ▶ Hence, $\models_{\mathcal{S}} A$.

21 / 28

Validity, Unsatisfiability

- ▶ A formula A is valid, if $\models_{\mathcal{S}} A$ for all \mathcal{S} .
- ▶ Written $\models A$.
- ▶ A formula A is unsatisfiable, if $\not\models_{\mathcal{S}} A$ for no \mathcal{S} .
- ▶ If A is valid, then $\neg A$ is unsatisfiable and vice versa.
- ▶ The notions extend to (multi)sets of formulas.
- ▶ For $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$, just formulate them for $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n$.

Valid	Non-valid sat	Unsat
-------	------------------	-------

22 / 28

Validity, Unsatisfiability

Valid	Non-valid sat	Unsat
-------	------------------	-------

- ▶ $\forall x.p(x) \Rightarrow \exists y.p(y)$ is valid.
- ▶ $p(a) \Rightarrow \neg\exists x.p(x)$ is satisfiable non-valid.
- ▶ $\forall x.p(x) \wedge \exists y.\neg p(y)$ is unsatisfiable.

23 / 28

Logical Consequence

Definition

A formula A is a logical consequence of the formulas B_1, \dots, B_n , if every model of $B_1 \wedge \dots \wedge B_n$ is a model of A .

Example

- ▶ $mortal(socrates)$ is a logical consequence of $\forall x.(person(x) \Rightarrow mortal(x))$ and $person(socrates)$.
- ▶ $cooked(apple)$ is a logical consequence of $\forall x.(\neg cooked(x) \Rightarrow tasty(x))$ and $\neg tasty(apple)$.
- ▶ $genius(einstein)$ is not a logical consequence of $\exists x.person(x) \wedge genius(x)$ and $person(einstein)$.

24 / 28

Logic Programs

- ▶ Logic programs: finite non-empty sets of formulas of a special form, called program clauses.
- ▶ Program clause:

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_k. B_1 \wedge \dots \wedge B_n \Rightarrow A,$$

where

- ▶ $k, n \geq 0$,
 - ▶ A and the B 's are atomic formulas,
 - ▶ x_1, \dots, x_k are all the variables which occur in A, B_1, \dots, B_n .
- ▶ Usually written in the inverse implication form without quantifiers and conjunctions:

$$A \Leftarrow B_1, \dots, B_n$$

25/28

Goal

- ▶ Goals or queries of logic programs: formulas of the form

$$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_k. B_1 \wedge \dots \wedge B_n,$$

where

- ▶ $k, n \geq 0$,
 - ▶ the B 's are atomic formulas,
 - ▶ x_1, \dots, x_k are all the variables which occur in B_1, \dots, B_n .
- ▶ Usually written without quantifiers and conjunction:

$$B_1, \dots, B_n$$

- ▶ The problem is to find out whether a goal is a logical consequence of the given logic program or not.

26/28

The Problem and the Idea

- ▶ Let P be a program and G be a goal.
- ▶ Problem: Is G a logical consequence of P ?
- ▶ Idea: Try to show that the set of formulas $P \cup \{\neg G\}$ is inconsistent.
- ▶ How? This we will learn in this course.

27/28

Example

Let P consist of the two clauses:

- ▶ $\forall x.mortal(x) \Leftarrow person(x)$.
- ▶ $person(socrates)$.

Goal: $G = \exists x.mortal(x)$.

$\neg G$ is equivalent to $\forall x.\neg mortal(x)$.

The set

$$\{\forall x.mortal(x) \Leftarrow person(x), person(socrates), \forall x.\neg mortal(x)\}$$

is inconsistent.

Hence, G is a logical consequence of P .

We can even compute the witness term for the goal:

$x = socrates$.

How? This we will learn in this lecture.

28/28