

# Rewriting

## Part 3.2 Equational Problems. Syntactic Unification

Temur Kutsia

RISC, JKU Linz



# Validity and Satisfiability

Notation:  $s \approx_E t$  iff  $s \approx t$  belongs to the equational theory generated by  $E$ .



# Validity and Satisfiability

Notation:  $s \approx_E t$  iff  $s \approx t$  belongs to the equational theory generated by  $E$ .

**Validity problem:**

**Given:** A set of identities  $E$  and terms  $s$  and  $t$ .

**Decide:**  $s \approx_E t$ .



# Validity and Satisfiability

Notation:  $s \approx_E t$  iff  $s \approx t$  belongs to the equational theory generated by  $E$ .

**Validity problem:**

**Given:** A set of identities  $E$  and terms  $s$  and  $t$ .

**Decide:**  $s \approx_E t$ .

**Satisfiability problem:**

**Given:** A set of identities  $E$  and terms  $s$  and  $t$ .

**Find:** A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s) \approx_E \sigma(t)$ .



# Equational Problems

The following methods solve special cases:

- ▶ **Term rewriting** decides  $\approx_E$  if  $\rightarrow_E$  is convergent.  
(Discussed in the previous lecture)



# Equational Problems

The following methods solve special cases:

- ▶ **Term rewriting** decides  $\approx_E$  if  $\rightarrow_E$  is convergent.  
(Discussed in the previous lecture)
- ▶ **Congruence closure** decided  $\approx_E$  when  $E$  is variable-free.  
(Discussed in the previous lecture)





# Unification

Unification is the process of solving satisfiability problems:

**Given:** A set of identities  $E$  and two terms  $s$  and  $t$ .

**Find:** A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s) \approx_E \sigma(t)$ .





# Unification

Unification is the process of solving satisfiability problems:

**Given:** A set of identities  $E$  and two terms  $s$  and  $t$ .

**Find:** A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s) \approx_E \sigma(t)$ .

- ▶ In syntactic unification,  $E = \emptyset$ .
- ▶  $r_1 \approx_{\emptyset} r_2$  iff  $r_1 = r_2$ .



# Unification

Unification is the process of solving satisfiability problems:

**Given:** A set of identities  $E$  and two terms  $s$  and  $t$ .

**Find:** A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s) \approx_E \sigma(t)$ .

- ▶ In syntactic unification,  $E = \emptyset$ .
- ▶  $r_1 \approx_{\emptyset} r_2$  iff  $r_1 = r_2$ .

Syntactic unification:

**Given:** Two terms  $s$  and  $t$ .

**Find:** A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$ .



# Unification

Syntactic unification:

**Given:** Two terms  $s$  and  $t$ .

**Find:** A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$ .

- ▶  $\sigma$ : a **unifier** of  $s$  and  $t$ .
- ▶  $\sigma$ : a **solution** of the equation  $s \stackrel{?}{=} t$ .



# Examples

$f(x) \stackrel{?}{=} f(a)$  : exactly one unifier  $\{x \mapsto a\}$

$x \stackrel{?}{=} f(y)$  : infinitely many unifiers  
 $\{x \mapsto f(y)\}, \{x \mapsto f(a), y \mapsto a\}, \dots$

$f(x) \stackrel{?}{=} g(y)$  : no unifiers

$x \stackrel{?}{=} f(x)$  : no unifiers





# Substitutions

## Instantiation Quasi-Ordering

- ▶ A substitution  $\sigma$  is **more general** than  $\vartheta$ , written  $\sigma \lesssim \vartheta$ , if there exists  $\eta$  such that  $\eta\sigma = \vartheta$ .
- ▶  $\vartheta$  is called an **instance** of  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ The relation  $\lesssim$  is quasi-ordering (reflexive and transitive binary relation), called **instantiation quasi-ordering**.
- ▶  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation corresponding to  $\lesssim$ , i.e., the relation  $\lesssim \cap \gtrsim$ .

### Example 3.2

Let  $\sigma = \{x \mapsto y\}$ ,  $\rho = \{x \mapsto a, y \mapsto a\}$ ,  $\vartheta = \{y \mapsto x\}$ .

- ▶  $\sigma \lesssim \rho$ , because  $\{y \mapsto a\}\sigma = \rho$ .
- ▶  $\sigma \lesssim \vartheta$ , because  $\{y \mapsto x\}\sigma = \vartheta$ .
- ▶  $\vartheta \lesssim \sigma$ , because  $\{x \mapsto y\}\vartheta = \sigma$ .
- ▶  $\sigma \sim \vartheta$ .









# Substitutions

## Lemma 3.2

$\sigma \sim \vartheta$  iff there exists a variable renaming  $\rho$  such that  $\rho\sigma = \vartheta$ .

Proof.

Exercise. □



# Substitutions

## Lemma 3.2

$\sigma \sim \vartheta$  iff there exists a variable renaming  $\rho$  such that  $\rho\sigma = \vartheta$ .

Proof.

Exercise. □

## Example 3.5

- ▶  $\sigma = \{x \mapsto y\}$ .
- ▶  $\vartheta = \{y \mapsto x\}$ .
- ▶  $\sigma \sim \vartheta$ .
- ▶  $\{x \mapsto y, y \mapsto x\}\sigma = \vartheta$ .



# Substitutions

## Theorem 3.4

$\sigma$  is idempotent iff  $\text{Dom}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{VRan}(\sigma) = \emptyset$ .

Proof.

Exercise. □



# Substitutions

## Definition 3.4 (Unification Problem, Unifier, MGU)

- ▶ **Unification problem:** A finite set of equations

$$\Gamma = \{s_1 =? t_1, \dots, s_n =? t_n\}.$$



# Substitutions

## Definition 3.4 (Unification Problem, Unifier, MGU)

- ▶ **Unification problem:** A finite set of equations  $\Gamma = \{s_1 =? t_1, \dots, s_n =? t_n\}$ .
- ▶ **Unifier** or **solution** of  $\Gamma$ : A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s_i) = \sigma(t_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .



# Substitutions

## Definition 3.4 (Unification Problem, Unifier, MGU)

- ▶ **Unification problem:** A finite set of equations  $\Gamma = \{s_1 =? t_1, \dots, s_n =? t_n\}$ .
- ▶ **Unifier** or **solution** of  $\Gamma$ : A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s_i) = \sigma(t_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$ : The set of all unifiers of  $\Gamma$ .  $\Gamma$  is **unifiable** iff  $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ .



# Substitutions

## Definition 3.4 (Unification Problem, Unifier, MGU)

- ▶ **Unification problem:** A finite set of equations  $\Gamma = \{s_1 =? t_1, \dots, s_n =? t_n\}$ .
- ▶ **Unifier** or **solution** of  $\Gamma$ : A substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s_i) = \sigma(t_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$ : The set of all unifiers of  $\Gamma$ .  $\Gamma$  is **unifiable** iff  $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ .
- ▶  $\sigma$  is a **most general unifier (mgu)** of  $\Gamma$  iff it is a least element of  $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$ :
  - ▶  $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$ , and
  - ▶  $\sigma \lesssim \vartheta$  for every  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$ .



# Unifiers

## Example 3.6

$\sigma := \{x \mapsto y\}$  is an mgu of  $x =? y$ .

For any other unifier  $\vartheta$  of  $x =? y$ ,  $\sigma \lesssim \vartheta$  because

- ▶  $\vartheta(x) = \vartheta(y) = \vartheta\sigma(x)$ .
- ▶  $\vartheta(y) = \vartheta\sigma(y)$ .
- ▶  $\vartheta(z) = \vartheta\sigma(z)$  for any other variable  $z$ .







# Unifiers

## Example 3.6

$\sigma := \{x \mapsto y\}$  is an mgu of  $x =? y$ .

For any other unifier  $\vartheta$  of  $x =? y$ ,  $\sigma \lesssim \vartheta$  because

- ▶  $\vartheta(x) = \vartheta(y) = \vartheta\sigma(x)$ .
- ▶  $\vartheta(y) = \vartheta\sigma(y)$ .
- ▶  $\vartheta(z) = \vartheta\sigma(z)$  for any other variable  $z$ .

$\sigma' := \{x \mapsto z, y \mapsto z\}$  is a unifier but not an mgu of  $x =? y$ .

- ▶  $\sigma' = \{y \mapsto z\}\sigma$ .
- ▶  $\{z \mapsto y\}\sigma' = \{x \mapsto y, z \mapsto y\} \neq \sigma$ .

$\sigma'' = \{x \mapsto y, z_1 \mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto z_1\}$  is an mgu of  $x =? y$ .

- ▶  $\sigma = \{z_1 \mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto z_1\}\sigma''$ .
- ▶  $\sigma''$  is not idempotent.



# Unification

**Question:** How to compute an mgu of an unification problem?



# Rule-Based Formulation of Unification

- ▶ Unification algorithm in a rule-base way.
- ▶ Repeated transformation of a set of equations.
- ▶ The left-to-right search for disagreements: modeled by term decomposition.



# The Inference System $\mathcal{U}$

- ▶ A set of equations in **solved form**:

$$\{x_1 \approx t_1, \dots, x_n \approx t_n\}$$

where each  $x_i$  occurs exactly once.

- ▶ For each idempotent substitution there exists exactly one set of equations in solved form.
- ▶ Notation:
  - ▶  $[\sigma]$  for the solved form set for an idempotent substitution  $\sigma$ .
  - ▶  $\sigma_S$  for the idempotent substitution corresponding to a solved form set  $S$ .



# The Inference System $\mathcal{U}$

- ▶ **System:** The symbol  $\perp$  or a pair  $P; S$  where
  - ▶  $P$  is a set of unification problems,
  - ▶  $S$  is a set of equations in solved form.
- ▶  $\perp$  represents failure.
- ▶ A unifier (or a solution) of a system  $P; S$ : A substitution that unifies each of the equations in  $P$  and  $S$ .
- ▶  $\perp$  has no unifiers.



# The Inference System $\mathcal{U}$

## Example 3.7

- ▶ System:  $\{g(a) \stackrel{?}{=} g(y), g(z) \stackrel{?}{=} g(g(x))\}; \{x \approx g(y)\}$ .
- ▶ Its unifier:  $\{x \mapsto g(a), y \mapsto a, z \mapsto g(g(a))\}$ .



# The Inference System $\mathcal{U}$

Six transformation rules on systems:<sup>1</sup>

**Trivial:**

$$\{s =^? s\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow P'; S.$$

**Decomposition:**

$$\begin{aligned} \{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) =^? f(t_1, \dots, t_n)\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow \\ \{s_1 =^? t_1, \dots, s_n =^? t_n\} \cup P'; S, \text{ where } n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Symbol Clash:**

$$\{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) =^? g(t_1, \dots, t_m)\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow \perp, \text{ if } f \neq g.$$

---

<sup>1</sup> $\uplus$  stands for disjoint union.





# The Inference System $\mathcal{U}$

## **Orient:**

$$\{t =^? x\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow \{x =^? t\} \cup P'; S, \text{ if } t \notin \mathcal{V}.$$

## **Occurs Check:**

$$\{x =^? t\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow \perp \text{ if } x \in \mathcal{Var}(t) \text{ but } x \neq t.$$

## **Variable Elimination:**

$$\{x =^? t\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow P' \{x \mapsto t\}; \{x \mapsto t\}(S) \cup \{x \approx t\},$$

if  $x \notin \mathcal{Var}(t)$ .



# Unification with $\mathcal{U}$

In order to unify  $s$  and  $t$ :

1. Create an initial system  $\{s =^? t\}; \emptyset$ .
2. Apply successively rules from  $\mathcal{U}$ .

The system  $\mathcal{U}$  is essentially the Herbrand's Unification Algorithm.



# Properties of $\mathcal{U}$ : Termination

## Lemma 3.3

*For any finite set of equations  $P$ , every sequence of transformations in  $\mathcal{U}$*

$$P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow P_1; S_1 \Leftrightarrow P_2; S_2 \Leftrightarrow \dots$$

*terminates either with  $\perp$  or with  $\emptyset; S$ , with  $S$  in solved form.*



# Properties of $\mathcal{L}$ : Termination

## Proof.

Complexity measure on the set  $P$  of equations:  $\langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ , ordered lexicographically on triples of naturals, where

$n_1$  = The number of distinct variables in  $P$ .

$n_2$  = The number of symbols in  $P$ .

$n_3$  = The number of equations in  $P$  of the form  $t =? x$  where  $t$  is not a variable.



# Properties of $\mathcal{U}$ : Termination

## Proof [Cont.]

Each rule in  $\mathcal{U}$  strictly reduces the complexity measure.

Rule	$n_1$	$n_2$	$n_3$
<b>Trivial</b>	$\geq$	$>$	
<b>Decomposition</b>	$=$	$>$	
<b>Orient</b>	$=$	$=$	$>$
<b>Variable Elimination</b>	$>$		



# Properties of $\mathcal{L}$ : Termination

## Proof [Cont.]

- ▶ A rule can always be applied to a system with non-empty  $P$ .
- ▶ The only systems to which no rule can be applied are  $\perp$  and  $\emptyset; S$ .
- ▶ Whenever an equation is added to  $S$ , the variable on the left-hand side is eliminated from the rest of the system, i.e.  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  are in solved form.



## Corollary 3.1

If  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow^+ \emptyset; S$  then  $\sigma_S$  is idempotent.



# Properties of $\mathcal{L}$ : Correctness

Notation:  $\Gamma$  for systems.

## Lemma 3.4

*For any transformation  $P; S \Leftrightarrow \Gamma$ , a substitution  $\vartheta$  unifies  $P; S$  iff it unifies  $\Gamma$ .*







# Properties of $\mathcal{U}$ : Correctness

## Theorem 3.5 (Soundness)

*If  $P; \emptyset \leftrightarrow^+ \emptyset; S$ , then  $\sigma_S$  unifies any equation in  $P$ .*



# Properties of $\mathcal{U}$ : Correctness

## Theorem 3.5 (Soundness)

*If  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow^+ \emptyset; S$ , then  $\sigma_S$  unifies any equation in  $P$ .*

### Proof.

By induction on the length of derivation, using the previous lemma and the fact that  $\sigma_S$  unifies  $S$ . □



# Properties of $\mathcal{L}$ : Correctness

## Theorem 3.6 (Completeness)

*If  $\vartheta$  unifies every equation in  $P$ , then any maximal sequence of transformations  $P; \emptyset \leftrightarrow \dots$  ends in a system  $\emptyset; S$  such that  $\sigma_S \lesssim \vartheta$ .*



# Properties of $\mathcal{L}$ : Correctness

## Theorem 3.6 (Completeness)

*If  $\vartheta$  unifies every equation in  $P$ , then any maximal sequence of transformations  $P; \emptyset \leftrightarrow \dots$  ends in a system  $\emptyset; S$  such that  $\sigma_S \lesssim \vartheta$ .*

### Proof.

Such a sequence must end in  $\emptyset; S$  where  $\vartheta$  unifies  $S$  (why?).

For every binding  $x \mapsto t$  in  $\sigma_S$ ,  $\vartheta\sigma_S(x) = \vartheta(t) = \vartheta(x)$  and for every  $x \notin \text{Dom}(\sigma_S)$ ,  $\vartheta\sigma_S(x) = \vartheta(x)$ . Hence,  $\vartheta = \vartheta\sigma_S$ . □



# Properties of $\mathcal{U}$ : Correctness

## Theorem 3.6 (Completeness)

*If  $\vartheta$  unifies every equation in  $P$ , then any maximal sequence of transformations  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \dots$  ends in a system  $\emptyset; S$  such that  $\sigma_S \lesssim \vartheta$ .*

### Proof.

Such a sequence must end in  $\emptyset; S$  where  $\vartheta$  unifies  $S$  (why?).

For every binding  $x \mapsto t$  in  $\sigma_S$ ,  $\vartheta\sigma_S(x) = \vartheta(t) = \vartheta(x)$  and for every  $x \notin \text{Dom}(\sigma_S)$ ,  $\vartheta\sigma_S(x) = \vartheta(x)$ . Hence,  $\vartheta = \vartheta\sigma_S$ .  $\square$

## Corollary 3.2

*If  $P$  has no unifiers, then any maximal sequence of transformations from  $P; \emptyset$  must have the form  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \dots \Leftrightarrow \perp$ .*



# Observations

- ▶  $\mathcal{U}$  computes an idempotent mgu.
- ▶ The choice of rules in computations via  $\mathcal{U}$  is “don’t care” nondeterminism (the word “any” in Completeness Theorem).
- ▶ Any control strategy will result to an mgu for unifiable terms, and failure for non-unifiable terms.
- ▶ Any practical algorithm that proceeds by performing transformations of  $\mathcal{U}$  in any order is
  - ▶ sound and complete,
  - ▶ generates mgus for unifiable terms.
- ▶ Not all transformation sequences have the same length.
- ▶ Not all transformation sequences end in exactly the same mgu.



# Matching

## Definition 3.5

### Matcher, Matching Problem

- ▶ A substitution  $\sigma$  is a **matcher** of  $s$  to  $t$  if  $\sigma(s) = t$ .
- ▶ A matching equation between  $s$  and  $t$  is represented as  $s \stackrel{?}{\approx} t$ .
- ▶ A **matching problem** is a finite set of matching equations.



# Matching vs Unification

## Example 3.8

$$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} f(g(z), c)$$

$$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$$

$$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{=} f(g(z), c)$$

$$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$$





# Matching vs Unification

## Example 3.8

$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{\approx} f(g(z), c)$	$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{=} f(g(z), c)$
$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$	$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$
$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{\approx} f(g(z), x)$	$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{=} f(g(z), x)$
$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto x\}$	$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto g(z)\}$



# Matching vs Unification

## Example 3.8

$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} f(g(z), c)$	$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{=} f(g(z), c)$
$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$	$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$
$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} f(g(z), x)$	$f(x, y) \stackrel{?}{=} f(g(z), x)$
$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto x\}$	$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto g(z)\}$
$f(x, a) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} f(b, y)$	$f(x, a) \stackrel{?}{=} f(b, y)$
No matcher	$\{x \mapsto b, y \mapsto a\}$



# Matching vs Unification

## Example 3.8

$f(x, y) \lesssim^? f(g(z), c)$	$f(x, y) =^? f(g(z), c)$
$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$	$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$
$f(x, y) \lesssim^? f(g(z), x)$	$f(x, y) =^? f(g(z), x)$
$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto x\}$	$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto g(z)\}$
$f(x, a) \lesssim^? f(b, y)$	$f(x, a) =^? f(b, y)$
No matcher	$\{x \mapsto b, y \mapsto a\}$
$f(x, x) \lesssim^? f(x, a)$	$f(x, x) =^? f(x, a)$
No matcher	$\{x \mapsto a\}$



# Matching vs Unification

## Example 3.8

$f(x, y) \lesssim^? f(g(z), c)$	$f(x, y) =^? f(g(z), c)$
$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$	$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto c\}$
$f(x, y) \lesssim^? f(g(z), x)$	$f(x, y) =^? f(g(z), x)$
$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto x\}$	$\{x \mapsto g(z), y \mapsto g(z)\}$
$f(x, a) \lesssim^? f(b, y)$	$f(x, a) =^? f(b, y)$
No matcher	$\{x \mapsto b, y \mapsto a\}$
$f(x, x) \lesssim^? f(x, a)$	$f(x, x) =^? f(x, a)$
No matcher	$\{x \mapsto a\}$
$x \lesssim^? f(x)$	$x =^? f(x)$
$\{x \mapsto f(x)\}$	No unifier



# How to Solve Matching Problems

- ▶  $s =^? t$  and  $s \lesssim^? t$  coincide, if  $t$  is ground.
- ▶ When  $t$  is not ground in  $s \lesssim^? t$ , simply regard all variables in  $t$  as constants and use the unification algorithm.
- ▶ Alternatively, modify the rules in  $\mathcal{U}$  to work directly with the matching problem.



# Matched Form

- ▶ A set of equations  $\{x_1 \approx t_1, \dots, x_n \approx t_n\}$  is in **matched form**, if all  $x$ 's are pairwise distinct.
- ▶ The notation  $\sigma_S$  extends to matched forms.
- ▶ If  $S$  is in matched form, then

$$\sigma_S(x) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } x \approx t \in S \\ x, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



# The Inference System $\mathfrak{M}$

- ▶ **Matching system:** The symbol  $\perp$  or a pair  $P; S$ , where
  - ▶  $P$  is set of matching problems.
  - ▶  $S$  is set of equations in matched form.
- ▶ A matcher (or a solution) of a system  $P; S$ : A substitution that solves each of the matching equations in  $P$  and  $S$ .
- ▶  $\perp$  has no matchers.



# The Inference System $\mathfrak{M}$

Five transformation rules on matching systems:<sup>2</sup>

## Decomposition:

$$\{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \lesssim^? f(t_1, \dots, t_n)\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow \\ \{s_1 \lesssim^? t_1, \dots, s_n \lesssim^? t_n\} \cup P'; S, \text{ where } n \geq 0.$$

## Symbol Clash:

$$\{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \lesssim^? g(t_1, \dots, t_m)\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow \perp, \text{ if } f \neq g.$$

---

<sup>2</sup> $\uplus$  stands for disjoint union.





# The Inference System $\mathfrak{M}$

## Symbol-Variable Clash:

$$\{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \lesssim^? x\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow \perp.$$

## Merging Clash:

$$\{x \lesssim^? t_1\} \uplus P'; \{x \approx t_2\} \uplus S' \Leftrightarrow \perp, \text{ if } t_1 \neq t_2.$$

## Elimination:

$$\{x \lesssim^? t\} \uplus P'; S \Leftrightarrow P'; \{x \approx t\} \cup S,$$

if  $S$  does not contain  $x \approx t'$  with  $t \neq t'$ .



# Matching with $\mathfrak{M}$

In order to match  $s$  to  $t$

1. Create an initial system  $\{s \stackrel{?}{\sim} t\}; \emptyset$ .
2. Apply successively the rules from  $\mathfrak{M}$ .



# Matching with $\mathfrak{M}$

## Example 3.9

Match  $f(x, f(a, x))$  to  $f(g(a), f(a, g(a)))$ :

$$\{f(x, f(a, x)) \lesssim^? f(g(a), f(a, g(a)))\}; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \text{Decomposition}$$

$$\{x \lesssim^? g(a), f(a, x) \lesssim^? f(a, g(a))\}; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \text{Elimination}$$

$$\{f(a, x) \lesssim^? f(a, g(a))\}; \{x \approx g(a)\} \Leftrightarrow \text{Decomposition}$$

$$\{a \lesssim^? a, x \lesssim^? g(a)\}; \{x \approx g(a)\} \Leftrightarrow \text{Decomposition}$$

$$\{x \lesssim^? g(a)\}; \{x \approx g(a)\} \Leftrightarrow \text{Merge}$$

$$\emptyset; \{x \approx g(a)\}$$

Matcher:  $\{x \mapsto g(a)\}$ .



# Matching with $\mathfrak{M}$

## Example 3.10

Match  $f(x, x)$  to  $f(x, a)$ :

$$\{f(x, x) \lesssim^? f(x, a)\}; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \text{Decomposition}$$

$$\{x \lesssim^? x, x \lesssim^? a\}; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \text{Elimination}$$

$$\{x \lesssim^? a\}; \{x \approx x\} \Leftrightarrow \text{Merging Clash}$$

$\perp$

No matcher.



# Properties of $\mathfrak{M}$ : Termination

## Theorem 3.7

*For any finite set of matching problems  $P$ , every sequence of transformations in  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the form  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow P_1; S_1 \Leftrightarrow P_2; S_2 \Leftrightarrow \dots$  terminates either with  $\perp$  or with  $\emptyset; S$ , with  $S$  in matched form.*





# Properties of $\mathfrak{M}$ : Correctness

The following lemma is straightforward:

## Lemma 3.5

*For any transformation of matching systems  $P; S \Leftrightarrow \Gamma$ , a substitution  $\vartheta$  is a matcher for  $P; S$  iff it is a matcher for  $\Gamma$ .*



# Properties of $\mathfrak{M}$ : Correctness

## Theorem 3.8 (Soundness)

*If  $P; \emptyset \leftrightarrow^+ \emptyset; S$ , then  $\sigma_S$  solves all matching equations in  $P$ .*





# Properties of $\mathfrak{M}$ : Correctness

## Theorem 3.8 (Soundness)

*If  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow^+ \emptyset; S$ , then  $\sigma_S$  solves all matching equations in  $P$ .*

### Proof.

By induction on the length of derivations, using the previous lemma and the fact that  $\sigma_S$  solves the matching problems in  $S$ . □



# Properties of $\mathfrak{M}$ : Correctness

Let  $v(\{s_1 \approx t_1, \dots, s_n \approx t_n\})$  be  $\mathcal{V}ar(\{s_1, \dots, s_n\})$ .

## Theorem 3.9 (Completeness)

*If  $\vartheta$  is a matcher of  $P$ , then any maximal sequence of transformations  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \dots$  ends in a system  $\emptyset; S$  such that  $\sigma_S = \vartheta|_{v(P)}$ .*



## Properties of $\mathfrak{M}$ : Correctness

Let  $v(\{s_1 \approx t_1, \dots, s_n \approx t_n\})$  be  $\mathcal{V}ar(\{s_1, \dots, s_n\})$ .

### Theorem 3.9 (Completeness)

*If  $\vartheta$  is a matcher of  $P$ , then any maximal sequence of transformations  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \dots$  ends in a system  $\emptyset; S$  such that  $\sigma_S = \vartheta|_{v(P)}$ .*

#### Proof.

Such a sequence must end in  $\emptyset; S$  where  $\vartheta$  is a matcher of  $S$ .  $v(S) = v(P)$ . For every equation  $x \approx t \in S$ , either  $t = x$  or  $x \mapsto t \in \sigma_S$ . Therefore, for any such  $x$ ,  $\sigma_S(x) = t = \vartheta(x)$ . Hence,  $\sigma_S = \vartheta|_{v(P)}$ . □



# Properties of $\mathfrak{M}$ : Correctness

Let  $v(\{s_1 \approx t_1, \dots, s_n \approx t_n\})$  be  $\mathcal{V}ar(\{s_1, \dots, s_n\})$ .

## Theorem 3.9 (Completeness)

*If  $\vartheta$  is a matcher of  $P$ , then any maximal sequence of transformations  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \dots$  ends in a system  $\emptyset; S$  such that  $\sigma_S = \vartheta|_{v(P)}$ .*

### Proof.

Such a sequence must end in  $\emptyset; S$  where  $\vartheta$  is a matcher of  $S$ .  $v(S) = v(P)$ . For every equation  $x \approx t \in S$ , either  $t = x$  or  $x \mapsto t \in \sigma_S$ . Therefore, for any such  $x$ ,  $\sigma_S(x) = t = \vartheta(x)$ . Hence,  $\sigma_S = \vartheta|_{v(P)}$ . □

## Corollary 3.3

*If  $P$  has no matchers, then any maximal sequence of transformations from  $P; \emptyset$  must have the form  $P; \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \dots \Leftrightarrow \perp$ .*

