

Rewriting in Computer Science and Logic
(326.065, SS 2013)
Exercises, Part 1

Temur Kutsia

Total points: 100.

Part 1. Abstract Reduction

1. (5 points) Find a proof or bring a counterexample:
 - (a) The reflexive closure of the transitive closure is the same as the transitive closure of the reflexive closure.
 - (b) $(\overset{+}{\leftrightarrow}) = (\overset{+}{\rightarrow}) \cup (\overset{+}{\rightarrow})^{-1}$.
2. (5 points) Give an example of ARS (A, \rightarrow) such that there exists an element $a \in A$ with the following properties:
 - a has a normal form.
 - a has at most one normal form.
 - There exist reductions starting from a which do not converge.
3. (5 points) Give a counterexample to the proposition obtained from Newman's Lemma by dropping the requirement on \rightarrow to be terminating.

Part 2. Syntax and Semantics

1. (4 points) Let \mathcal{F} be the signature consisting of the nullary symbol 0 , the unary symbol s , and the binary symbols add and $mult$. Consider the term t :

$$t = add(mult(add(s(0), s(mult(s(s(0))), s(s(s(0)))))), \\ s(s(add(s(0), s(s(0))))), \\ add(0, s(0)))$$

- (a) Picture the term as a tree.
- (b) What is $\mathcal{P}os(t)$?

- (c) What is $|t|$ (the size of t)?
 - (d) Give all subterms of t .
 - (e) What are the positions of function symbols?
 - (f) Which terms are denoted by the following expressions?
 - i. $t|_{112}$
 - ii. $t|_{221}$
 - iii. $t[s(0)]_{111}$
 - iv. $t[s(0)]_\epsilon$
 - v. $t[add(s(0), 0)]_{11}$
2. (4 points, Ex. 3.1 from the book, [BN3.1]) Let \mathcal{F} be the signature consisting of the binary function symbol f . Let t be a term from $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$. What are the possible shapes of positions of t ?
 3. (6 points) Give an example of a binary relation on $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$, which is compatible with \mathcal{F} -operations but is not closed under substitutions.
 4. (6 points) Give an example of a binary relation on $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$, which is closed under \mathcal{F} -operations but is not compatible with \mathcal{F} -operations.
 5. (4 points) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{0, s, add, mult\}$, where 0 is a constant, s is unary, and add and $mult$ are binary. Let σ be a $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$ -substitution $\{x \mapsto s(y), y \mapsto add(s(0), s(s(0))), z \mapsto 0\}$. Compute $\sigma(t)$ for the following terms t :
 - (a) x .
 - (b) $add(x, add(y, add(z, s(0))))$.
 - (c) $mult(z, s(x))$.
 - (d) $add(s(x), mult(x, s(y)))$.
 - (e) $mult(s(u), add(u, x))$.

6. (8 points) Consider the set of identities

$$E = \{f(x) \approx x, f(f(a)) \approx g(x, x), g(x, f(x)) \approx b\}.$$

Which of the following statements is correct? Justify your answer.

- (a) $E \vdash a \approx b$.
 - (b) $E \vdash g(x, y) \approx g(y, x)$.
 - (c) $E \vdash g(f(a), a) \approx f(b)$.
7. (8 points) Consider the set of identities which give an equational specification of groups:

$$E = \{x * (y * z) \approx (x * y) * z, x * e \approx x, x * i(x) \approx e\}$$

Show that

- (a) $x \xleftrightarrow{*}_E e * x$.
- (b) $f(i(a), i(b)) \xleftrightarrow{*}_E i(f(b, a))$.
- (c) $E \vdash e \approx i(x) * x$.
- (d) $E \vdash i(i(i(x)) * (x * i(x * e))) \approx i(i(i(i(i(x))))))$

8. (8 points, Ex. 3.7 from the book, [BN3.7]) Consider the set of identities:

$$E = \{f(x, f(y, z)) \approx f(f(x, y), z), f(f(x, y), x) \approx x\}$$

Show that $f(f(x, y), z) \xleftrightarrow{*}_E f(x, z)$. Show also the corresponding derivation $E \vdash f(f(x, y), x) \approx x$.

9. (9 points) Consider the set of $\{0, s, add\}$ -identities which give an equational specification of addition over natural numbers:

$$E = \{add(0, x) \approx x, add(s(x), y) \approx s(add(x, y))\}.$$

Show that $E \not\vdash add(x, y) \approx add(y, x)$ (i.e., construct a model of E in which add is not commutative).

Part 3. Equational Problems

1. (4 points) Consider the following convergent TRS:

$$R = \{f(f(x)) \rightarrow x, g(x) \rightarrow x\}$$

Show that $f(f(f(g(f(f(f(g(f(g(x)))))))))) \approx_R f(g(f(g(f(x)))))$.

2. (7 points) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{i, j, k, l, m, f, g\}$, where i, j, k, l, m are constants and f and g are unary function symbols. Let G be the set of ground \mathcal{F} -identities:

$$G = \{i \approx j, k \approx l, f(i) \approx g(k), j \approx f(j), m \approx g(l)\}.$$

Using the congruence closure algorithm discussed in the lecture, prove that $f(m) \approx_G g(k)$.

3. (7 points) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{a, b, c, d, f\}$, where a, b, c, d are constants and f is a unary function symbol. Let G be the set of ground \mathcal{F} -identities:

$$G = \{a \approx c, d \approx f(f(c)), f(c) \approx f(f(f(b))), f(b) \approx a\}$$

- (a) Show via $\xleftrightarrow{*}_G$ that $d \approx_G f(c)$ holds.
- (b) Show via congruence closure that $d \approx_G f(c)$ holds.

4. (5 points) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{a, f\}$, where a is a constant and f is a binary function symbol. Show the steps of the unification algorithm for the following $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$ -terms s and t :

(a) $s = f(y, z), t = f(a, x)$

(b) $s = f(x, a), t = f(a, x)$

(c) $s = f(y, y), t = f(a, x)$

(d) $s = f(x, y), t = f(f(x, y), a)$

5. (5 points) Show the steps of the matching algorithm for the terms s and t above, to match s to t .